

DAIRY HERD TYPE, STRUCTURE, AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN THE GEZIRA SCHEME.

A. M. I. BADI.

**Nationui Dairy Research Centre, P.O. Box 28 WadMadaniSaul, 1,
Sudan.**

SUMMARY

The study was conducted on 102 herds in the Barakat area of the Middle Region of the Gezira Scheme in 1986 to classify the types of dairy animals. Kenana, Butana and Cross - bred types accounted for 77.7, 12.7 and 9.6 % of the population, respectively. The typical herd structure was 59.0 % cows, 11.9 % dry heifers, 11.1 % heifer calves, 9.5% bull calves and 2.5 % bulls. Milking cows represented 20.5% of the total cows in the herd. Full-time farmers accounted for 72.9 % of the herd owners and 84.5% of the total were members of the Gezira Dairy Cooperative Society. Some 66.3 % of the total milk from numbers farms was supplied to the Coop. In the study herds sheep and goats represented 16.1 and 13.5% respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Many types of cattle exist in the Sudan, each adapted to the range of environment which prevail in a territory of nearly one million square miles. Bennett, John and Hewison (1954) classified these cattle into three main groups viz., Northern or Arab, Southern or Nilotic, and Nab: Mountain. Hewison (1945), Boynsi (1947), Alim (1960, 1962) and Danasoury and Bnyoumi (1963) have discussed the potentialities of Kenana and Butana as milk producers among the Northern cattle. The Middle Region of the Sudan is considered as the main area for these types. The primary objective of this study was to estimate and locate the types of dairy cattle in the area, with particular reference to milk supply to the Gezira Dairy Cooperative. In

addition, information was sought on factors such as alternative outlets for milk supplies, sources of animal nutrition, stock management practices, and approach to calf rearing. Such information could be of value to policy planners who are interested in the scale of animal production within the economy of the Gezira Scheme and the potential cash return to the tenant. The main focus of the study was the Kenana and Butana types and the impact of crossing with exotic blood. It was expected that the information would produce a map of their distribution and density which form a basis for future breeding and / or expansion programmes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was undertaken during May /October 1986 in the Barakat area of the Gezira Scheme. It lies at latitude 14 24 N and longitude 33 29 E. the soil is a dark, cracking clay with pH 7.8 - 8.5. Cash crops (cotton, groundnut, and wheat) dominate the agricultural system, leaving an area for fodder production which is inadequate for the animal population in the area. Grain sorghum is widely grown for human consumption.

Fodder production has been neglected during the last two decades leading to negligence of animal production sector. There is a growing demand for animal products at present and a planned policy towards animal production is required.

The study was undertaken in Barakat area to collect information on animal types and husbandary practices. Individual farmers and herd owners were interviewed on single day visits by team from the National Dairy Research Centre (N. D. R. C.) The interviews were conducted using a pre-tested questionnaire.

Thirty villages were studied but plans to include a further 22 villages were dropped due either to a lack of information or to the number of animals owned by the tenants.

The most important livestock species reared within the study area, cattle, sheep and goats. 102 herds of dairy cattle beside sheep and goat

were studied .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Herd type and Structure

The distribution of cattle types in the study area is shown in table I. The Kenana is the predominant type in the area, accounting for 77.7 % of the sample.

Table 1. Distribution of Kenana, Butana and Friesian Cross - bred animals in Barakat area.

Types	%Kenana	%Butana	%Cross-bred	Total%
Cows	41.2	10.8	7.0	59.0
Heifers	15.9	1.0	1.0	17.9
Heifer calves	9.6	0.5	1.0	11.1
Bull calves	9.0	0.3	0.2	9.5
Bulls	2.0	0.1	0.4	2.5
Total	77.7	12.7	9.6	100.0

Cows were classified into milking and dry cows ;Table 2 shows their distribution. The Kenana type clearly dominates both groups. The average herd had two out of every three cows Xlry which is a very high percentages ; this reflects poor management both in terms of animal nutrition and fertility control.

Table 2. Distribution of milking and dry cows by type.

Type	Milking%	Dry%	Total%
Kenana	15.2	26.0	41.2
Butana	2.8	8.0	10.8
Cross - bred	2.5	4.5	7.0
Total	20.5	38.5	59.0

The establishment of an A. I. centre at Barakat in 1968 commenced the introduction of cross - breeding. The lack of a major impact on cross-breeding strategy is evident from the low percentage of cross - bred animals shown in table 3; 50% cross bred animals amount to 5.8 %, and 25 % cross - bred animals represent 3.5 % of animals in the area. The quarter crosses were obtained by using half cross - bred bulls on local cows or by mating local bulls to half cross - bred cows. The absence of any national breeding policy meant that cross breeding is carried out traditionally and to date has not been successful in establishing any significant number of cross bred cows.

Table 3. Distribution of Friesian Cross - bred animals.

Types	Percentage of cross - bred					Total
	25%	50%	62.5%	75%	100%	
Cows	1.8	5.1	0.0	0.05	0.0	6.95
Heifers	0.9	0.3	0.05	0.0	0.0	1.25
Heifer calves	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.07	0.0	0.97
Bull calves	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.20
Bulls	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.05	0.2	0.35
Total	3.5	5.8	0.05	0.17	0.2	9.72

Calf Rearing

Suckle calves are separated from their dams at milking times when each calf is allowed to suckle two quarters only. Osman and Rizgalla (1968) described this practice in the Western Baggara type of cattle which are found in other areas of the Sudan. In Barakat area 63.3% of the calves were recorded as being allowed to suckle ('tiring milking time. The remaining calves were left to their dams after milking without any guarantee that the residual milk in the udder would meet their nutritional requirement. This situation may be more sensitive in the Gezira where cows are usually milked only once a day, either early in the morning or late in the afternoon.

Hard Owners

The finding of this study indicated that 72.9% of the herd owners are farmers in the Gezira Scheme, 15.6% work in the private sector, 5.6% are government employees and 1.9% are classified as animal breeders.

Formerly, most of the farmers kept livestock to satisfy the family demand for animal products. The establishment of the Gezira Dairy Coop in 1980 at Barakat changed the traditional pattern of milk supply. Currently 84.4% of herd owners in the Barakat area are members of the Gezira Dairy Coop.

Milk Distribution

During the period of the study the percentage of milking cows as follows :

15.2% Kenana, 2.8% Butana and 2.5% Cross - bred. From an analysis of the records Kenana cows produce 74.0% of the total milk in the area whilst the Butana and Cross - bred cows produce 13.6% and 12.3% respectively. Average milk production was 3.8 kg per cow per day. Utilization of total milk was : 66.3% Delivered to Gezira Dairy Coop, 27.1% retained for family

use and 6.6% was sold privately in the village or nearest town.

Herd Management

In the Gezira herd management depends traditionally on maintaining the livestock on crop residues from cotton, wheat, groundnut and sorghum addition canal bank"grazing of native grasses supplies significant quantities of herbage to animals herding starts early in the morning (around 07.00 a.m.) grazing continues throughout the day, and the animals are brought back to the villages late in the morning (around 7.00 p.m.). Most of the animals are kept in open spaces or zeribbs (made from Acacia branches) without any shade. This type of enclosure was used for 85.5% of all animals in the area. 11.3% were kept in open yards and 3.0% were kept in closed yards. The absence of shade and free access to drinking water intake "helps reduce milk production of cows and restricts growth rates of replacement stock.

In addition to the crop residues some farmers feed gassab (sorghum stover).

Concentrates e.g. groundnut cake, cotton seed cake and wheat bran (radda), and gun fodder Abu 70 (sorghum vulgaris) and/or Pioneer 988 sorghum X Sudan grass hybrid.

Table 4 : shows the percentage of tenants and the level of their dependence on the different feed supplies, either home produced or purchased from the market.

Table 4. feedstuff category and % tenant utilization.

Feedstuff	% tenants
Cassab (sorghum straw)	22.9
Wheat straw	9.6
Groundnut hay	9.1
Bagasse (Sugercane)	0.2
Molasses	8.9
Uncorticated cottonseed	5.6
Groundnut cake	12.8
Cottonseed cake	16.2
Wheatbran	12.8
Green fodder (grass and / or legume)	2.4

Small Ruminants

Sheep and goats dominate the other types of animals found within the Gezira Scheme livestock production system. The present study also focussed on the numbers of other ruminant species owned by dairy farmers. The results reflect the efforts of all cattle owners to keep small ruminants to satisfy the family demands for milk, meat and by-products. The typical tenant's herd comprised 70.6% cattle, 16.1% sheep and 13.3% goats.

General Discussion and Recommendation

In the Gezira high population are not accompanied by high productivity. This is reflected in the herd composition. Productivity parameters demonstrated that cattle herds within the Scheme were heavily imbalanced in favour of females, which accounted for about 88% of the animals examined. This proportion is 8% higher than that reported by the Gezira Livestock Integration study team (1986), and 18% higher than that found in many pastoral systems of Sahelian Africa. As a result, many owners expressed their needs for assistance to improve their breeding stock. Cattle densities are similar to those found in similar ecological conditions in Africa, such as the Inland Niger Delta of Mali as reported by Gezira Livestock Integration study (1986).

Livestock ownership patterns is essential to the understanding of production systems. The herd size (approximately 3 - 5 cows) found in the studied area support the finding reported by Gezira Livestock Integration study (1986), and support its report that Gezira could only support 18% of

its livestock population on permanent basis.

An important consequence of these findings, from the point of view of livestock development , is that any marked improvent in the Scheme's capacity to sustain livestock through additional feed supplies, for example, by increasing fodder production, is likely to result in a major and permanent recall of resident animals. The method and pace of re-introduction of irrigated fodder could become a powerful tool in shaping the future course of livestock development in the Gezira Scheme. Change in research strategy with emphasis on system approach to practical problems is expected to have an impact on future development of livestock production in the Gezira .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to thank my collagues Dr. M. M. Ghasm El Seed and Dr. O. Y. Osman for their assistance with fieldwork. I am also grateful to the Sudan - Ireta d Development Cooperation Programme who provided the funding for this study.

REFERENCES

- Alim , K. A. (1960) Reproductive** rates and milk yield of Kenana cattle in Sudan. J. Agric. Sci., Camb. 55,183 - 8.
- Alim , K. A. (1962)** Environmental and genetic factors affecting milk production of Butana cattle in Sudan. J. Dairy Sci., 45,242 - 7.
- Benet t, S. C.J. , John, E.R., and I-lewison. J.W. (i954)** In Agriculture in the Sudan. ed. Tothill , J. D. Chapter 22, Animal Husbandary. London : Oxford University Press.
- Boyns, B. M. (1947)** Sudanese cattle as milk producers. Emp. J.Exp. Agric. 15,27- 41.
- Danasoury, M. S. and Bayoumi, M. S. (1963)** Service period, calving interval, lactation period and their effect on milk yield in Sudanese dairy cattle. J. anim. Prod. (U. A. R.) 3,45 - 56.
- Government of the Sudan. Gezira Rehabilitation Project. Gezira Livestock Integration study. Volume 4 DEVCO. XRELAND. DUBLIN. September 1926. '**
- Osman, A. H. and Rizgalla. Y. (1968)** Normal growthland development up to one year ofage Sudanese cattle with special refeigce to the influence of sex and sire. J. Agric. Sci. .Camb. 70,1 17- 121